

Strategic Planning Across Montana B 2006

First meeting: Thursday, June 8, 2006 10:00 AM B 4:00 PM with lunch together
Please arrive by 9:45 for coffee so we can start promptly at 10:00. Thanks.

Location: First Presbyterian Church, corner of 11th and Rodney, East of the Cathedral, Helena

Homework: Please read the following documents.

1. The 2000 document that names the 22 original goals and recaps progress to-date at that time.
2. AAn Affirmation of Community, A Revolution of Vision and Goals, Creating a Community To Support All People Including Those With Disabilities,@a Robert Wood Johnson document .
3. The May, 1998 document. Recommendations of the Developmental Disabilities Strategic Planning Task Force

Meeting outcomes:

1. Re-familiarized with the purpose of the original Strategic Planning process.
2. A refreshed vision for the future for people with developmental disabilities.
3. We are reminded of the 20+ goals that came out of that participatory process.
4. We are aware of the progress and successes on each goal, a report card on carrying out the goals.
5. A decision about who else should be involved in this process AND the process itself to insure excellent public participation.
6. Set the next few meeting dates to identify what the future should hold and the goals should be.

Agenda

1. Meeting opening
 - a. Welcome B Jeff Sturm
 - b. Review of the meeting outcomes and agenda
 - c. Introduction of participants
2. The original Strategic Planning Across Montana B 1997-1998
 - a. What were its overall goals and purpose?
 - b. Who was involved?
 - c. How was it carried out?
 - d. What stands out in our memories about being part of this process?
 - e. Review the original mission, vision and values statements, and decide if they need any editing.

3. The original 21 goals B a report card, a review of what we said would take place and what HAS taken place B a focus on the positive outcomes
 - a. What progress has been made? What are we proud of? What can be considered done or taken care of in this goal? The Developmental Disability Program's report
 - b. Has there been work by other organizations or entities that we should note as well?

4. The present and a new future

- a. Why are we doing this review? What do we hope to accomplish?
- b. What will be the result? A draft list to react to and improve upon from AAn Affirmation of Community, A Revolution of Vision and Goals, Creating a Community To Support All People Including Those With Disabilities,@a Robert Wood Johnson document that was part of your 1997-1998 materials and the reading materials for today.
 - I. Community life: People with developmental disabilities are vital and integral members of our society.
 - II. Support: People with developmental disabilities are supported as members of the community, rather than clients of programs or consumers of services.
 - III. Self-determination: People with developmental disabilities determine their own futures with appropriate assistance from families and friends.
 - IV. Community capacity: Communities and citizens will see themselves as competent and willing to become involved in the lives of people with disabilities.
- c. How can we integrate the AQuality Management Strategy@handout components as we do so?
 - I. Participant access
 - II. Participant-centered service planning and delivery
 - III. Provider capacity and capabilities
 - IV. Participant safeguards
 - V. Participant rights and responsibilities
 - VI. Participant outcomes and satisfaction
 - VII. System performance

5. Next steps

- a. Who else should be involved to make this the best product possible?
- b. What processes of input gathering should we use to also insure the best possible report and action plan? A FIRST draft proposal for the FIRST step of public input gathering might be: METNET meetings in 11 sites in September

Agenda:

- 1. Meet locally, off-line, for 1 hour, 15 minutes, to:
 - a. Review the goals and progress toward the goals;
 - b. Name for each vision subject (I.-IV. in 4.b. above) what still needs to be accomplished and the gaps in service or quality of life people are experiencing; and
 - c. Name what else, with no parameters, people need and want in your community that would contribute to a high quality of life. What other suggestions do you want to make?
- 2. Report, via METNET, for up to 45 minutes, the results of your conversation and recommendations.

- c. What dates should we set?
- d. What name do we want to call our selves and this process?

6. Meeting closure

- a. Quick meeting evaluation
- b. Goodbyes